Active High-Profile IT Projects Last updated: Mar. 29, 2011	011	Dasi	Dashboard Index
		au2S	гоор Впада Оци
Project Name (Click on the active project name below to see the project's most recent dashboard report.)	Agency	lb	¥
Storage Implementation	DOA	3/15/2011	
AMANDA System Upgrade	DATCP	2/28/2011	
Wisconsin Integrated Corrections System (WICS) Release 2.1	DOC	2/28/2011	
Wisconsin Integrated Corrections System (WICS) Release 3.0	DOC	2/28/2011	Manager Manager Andrews
Risk, Needs, Case Management (COMPAS)	DOC	2/28/2011	
Birth to 3 ARRA IT Systems	DHS	2/24/2011	
Statewide Vital Records Information System Implementation	PHS	2/28/2011	
Wisconsin Forest Inventory and Reporting System (WisFIRS)	DNR	3/22/2011	
Administrative Review and Training (ART)	DPI	1/16/2011	
Longitudinal Data Systems Phase II	DPI	2/28/2011	
Integrated Property Assessment System (IPAS) Phase 6A	DOR	3/1/2011	
Integrated Property Assessment System (IPAS) Phase 6B	DOR	3/1/2011	
Wisconsin Processing and Audit System (WINPAS) Rollout 9	DOR	3/1/2011	
Driver's License Security Improvement (DLSI)	DOT	3/23/2011	
ICMS Conversion to .Net	DWD	2/28/2011	
IDMS to DB2 Conversion	DWD	2/28/2011	
For more information about the high-profile IT project process, see the IT Management Board Web site.			
Completed High-Profile IT Projects:			
Functional Screen Re-write	DHS		
Final dashboard report received in March 2011	2		
Final dashboard report received in January 2011	705		
Service Manager Implementation	DOA		
ו ווימן ממאווחמית ובחסור מכמואבת זון הבכמווחבי לתות			

	rinal dashboard report received in march 2010
UHC	Distance Learning System Implementation
7	
	Final dashboard report received in March 2010
DOR	Wisconsin Processing and Audit System (WINPAS) Rollout 7
	Final dashboard report received in April 2010
001	Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund (IPFCF) Application
	Closeout letter received March 2010
DOJ	eTIME Phase II
	Final dashboard report received in April 2010
	Lump Sum Payment System
	Final dashboard report received in May 2010
DOR	Integrated Property Assessment System (IPAS) Phase 5
	Final dashboard report received in May 2010
ALO	Wisconsin Justice Information Sharing (WIJIS) Gateway
	Final dashboard report received in July 2010
DVA	Veterans Benefits and Application Tracking System (VBATS) Enhancements
	Final dashboard report received in July 2010
DOA	Server Consolidation
	Final dashboard report received in July 2010
ETF	Health Insurance Electronic Enrollment, Validation and Payment (EVP) Project Phase 4
-	Final dashboard report received in August 2010
DOC	Wisconsin Integrated Corrections System (WICS) - Release 2.0
	Final dashboard report received in September 2010
DOR	Integrated Property Assessment System (IPAS) Phase 4
	Final dashboard report received in November 2010
DOJ	Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Upgrade
	Final dashboard report received in November 2010
DWD	DVR IRIS Electronic Case Management System Redesign
	Final dashboard report received in November 2010
DOR	Wisconsin Processing and Audit System (WINPAS) Rollout 8
	Final dashboard report received in December 2010
DOT	Wisconsin Carrier Registration System (WisCRS)

DHS	ForwardHealth InterChange (f/k/a Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)) Final dashboard report received in December 2008
	See the December 2008 dashboard report for Server Consolidation
DOA	E-mail Consolidation
	Final dashboard report received in January 2009
GAB	Campaign Finance Information System
DOR	Integrated Property Assessment System (IPAS) Phase 3, Release 0 Local Government Services
	Final dashboard report received in February 2009
DWD	UIBNet
	Final dashboard report received in February 2009
DWD	Job Center of Wisconsin
	Final dashboard report received in February 2009
DOR	Integrated Property Assessment System (IPAS) Phase 2, Release 0 - Equalization
	Final dashboard report received in March 2009
DOR	WINPAS Business Tax TAP (Taxpayer Access Point)
	Final dashboard report received in March 2009
DOR	WINPAS Rollout 5 Image Management
	Final dashboard report received in March 2009
ETF	Health Insurance Electronic Enrollment, Validation and Payment (EVP) Project Phase I
	Final dashboard report received in April 2009
DPI	Longitudinal Data Systems Phase I
	Final dashboard report received in May 2009
GAB	Statewide Voter Registration System (SVRS)
	Final dashboard report received in July 2009
ETF	Health Insurance Electronic Enrollment, Validation and Payment (EVP) Project Phase 2
	Final dashboard report received in August 2009
DOR	Wisconsin Processing and Audit System (WINPAS) Rollout 6
	Final dashboard report received in September 2009
ETF	Health Insurance Electronic Enrollment, Validation and Payment (EVP) Project Phase 3
CHW	Public Health Information Network Migration (includes status of Wisconsin Electronic Disease Surveillance Systems)
2	
	Final dashboard report received in November 2009

Benefit Payment System	ETF		\dashv	
Final dashboard report received in November 2008				
Air Permit Improvement Initiative	DNR		\dashv	
Final dashboard report received in October 2008				
Integrated Property Assessment System (IPAS) - Phase 1 - Manufacturing	DOR			
Final dashboard report received in October 2008				
WINPAS Rollout 4 Withholding Tax, Pass-through Withholding, Partnership Returns, Combined Returns	DOR			
Final dashboard report received in October 2008				
Department of Revenue Server Co-location Project	DOR			
Final dashboard report received in September 2008			_	
Wisconsin Integrated Corrections System (WICS) Phase 1	DOC			
Final dashboard report received in July 2008		:		
SUITES (State Unemployment Insurance Tax Enterprise System)	DWD			
Final dashboard report received in July 2008				
Automated License Issuance System	DNR			
Final dashboard report received in May 2008				



Summary of the High-Profile IT Project Process

The implementation and monitoring of high-profile IT projects involves a basic set of activities, summarized below in approximate sequential order (the timeframes for some of the activities can overlap). Not all of these activities will apply to a particular project – for example, not all projects will use vendors for application development or involve critical changes, and not all critical changes will result in a contract modification. But this summary shows what might be the typical progression of an agency high-profile IT project and the touch points with DOA and other governing bodies as part of the independent verification and validation (IV&V) process.

- ⇒ Project identified as an upcoming high-profile IT project in agency IT plan
- ⇒ DOA and IT Management Board reviews agency IT plan
- ⇒ Agency conducts COTS (commercial off-the-shelf) software feasibility evaluation
- ⇒ Agency prepares initial charter and sends copy to State CIO
- ⇒ ITDC Steering Team reviews initial charter

If vendor is used for application development:

- ⇒ Agency (with assistance from DET/state IT community if appropriate) prepares draft RFP (or RFB)
- ⇒ State Bureau of Procurement approves RFP
- ⇒ Master lease request (If applicable) processed
- ⇒ RFP issued
- → Responses evaluated (with assistance from DET/state IT community if appropriate), intent to award agreed on
- ⇒ Agency notifies State CIO regarding vendor selection
- Agency notifies DOA Legal before contract negotiations begin with vendor
- ⇒ DOA Legal provides recommendations on contract prior to contract signing
- ⇒ Charter and role definitions expanded to incorporate vendor selection; updated charter send to State CIO

Otherwise, internal development cycle proceeds (which may also involve master-lease financing)

- ⇒ Technical architecture documentation sent to Chief Technical Architect for review by Technical Architecture Review Committee
- ⇒ Project kickoff meeting held
- ⇒ Monthly dashboard reports sent to DOA
- ⇒ State CIO meets with agency project authorities to discuss any yellow or red status indicators on dashboard reports
- ⇒ Critical change request approved by agency; copy of signed change request form sent to State CIO

Continued on Next Page

Page 1 of 2 March 13, 2009



Summary of the High-Profile IT Project Process

If applicable:

- → Agency drafts modification to vendor contract as a result of approved change; proposed modified contract sent to DOA for legal review
- \Rightarrow DOA Legal reviews proposed contract modification and provides recommendations
- ⇒ Modified contract signed
- ⇒ Dashboard report is reset and project proceeds
- ⇒ Ongoing IV&V process in place (e.g., monthly dashboard reports sent to DOA and follow-up meetings held with State CIO as needed)
- ⇒ Periodic project evaluations conducted (either by teams assembled by the IT Directors Council or by contractors or a combination) as part of IV&V process
- ⇒ Reports from IV&V periodic project evaluations provided to the ITDC Steering Team, the project management team, the agency Secretary's Office, the DOA Secretary's Office, the IT Management Board, the Joint Committee on Information Policy and Technology, and any other governing bodies

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DIVISION OF ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY DOA-10111 (R06/2010) S. 16.004(3)(A) WIS STATS



RETURN FORM TO: DOA SECRETARY'S OFFICE 101 E. WILSON ST., 10th FL MADISON, WI 53702

High-Profile Information Technology Project Dashboard Report

Department:	DOA	Date of Report: 3/15/2011
Project Name:	Storage Implementation	Project Start Date: 10/26/2010
Project ID/Codes:	11020	Implementation Date: 7/30/2011
Executive Sponsor:	Jim Schmolesky	Signature: Jim Schmolesky
Business Authority:	Tim Herbert	Signature: Tim Herbert
IT Authority:	Diane Kohn	Signature: Diane Kohn
Financial Authority:	Susan Canty	Signature: Susan Canty
*Contract Administrator:	Susan Canty	Signature: Susan Canty

Project authorities should sign above. The same individual might be responsible for more than one of the above roles, but all the roles should have names assigned.

Project Description - Enter a brief description of the project:

The Storage Implementation project consists of planning and implementation for the replacement of all DET data storage (Mainframe, SAN, and Backup).

Four types of platforms are involved:

- Mainframe (zOS/zLinux/zVM)
- Distributed Physical
- VMWare
- Backup

Each of these will have separate implementation methods. All of DET's server and storage services will be affected except for remote print appliances and remote file services.

Progress Rating – Determine the status for each of the categories below based on the criteria identified on the right and on page 2 of this document.

Insert an X in the column that best describes the status of the category. Add comments for that category as needed.

If a category has a status of Yellow or Red, describe the specific problems/issues and what actions the agency is taking to address them.

STATUS COLOR INDICATORS

On target as planned

Fincountering issues (e.g., schedule or budget over by 10% to 25%)

Encountering problems (e.g., schedule or budget over by 25% or more)

Project Status Categories	Green	Yellow	
Schedule Status			
Equipment installation - Completed 11/15/2010			
Performance testing - Completed 11/16/2010	ŀ		
First Storage array migration complete - 1/31/2011	ŀ		
Mainframe final cut-over to new equipment - Completed 12/31/2010			
zOS mainframe data copy to new storage equipment - Completed 12/5/2010	\boxtimes		
zVM/Linux data migrations complete - Completed 2/13/2011			
Storage rates complete - Completed 1/14/2011			
Distributed server inventory complete - Completed 1/7/2011			
Distributed data migrations complete - 7/1/2011			
uCMDB/Asset data loading and billing processes complete - 3/1/2011			

^{*}If applicable for this project. If the project does not involve a vendor contract, indicate "NA" for Contract Administrator.

Project Status Categories	Green	Yellow			
Alerting functionality in place - 2/1/2011 - Complete Storage Array Monitoring services in place - 3/15/2011 Decommission old arrays completed -7/30/2011 First disk array erasures complete -6/30/2011 Project End - 7/30/2011					
Scope Status No Changes in scope at this time	\boxtimes				
Budget Status Earned value to date is \$293,520. Cost to date is \$137,826. Total budget is \$496,158.	\boxtimes				
Other Issues (Staffing, Risks, etc.) No major issues	\boxtimes				
This document can be made available to individuals with disabilities upon request. Additional Comments: If you have any additional overall status comments about the project (e.g., project news, accomplishments, other agency efforts or emerging challenges that could affect the project), please provide them here.					

Project Status Category Descriptions

Schedule Status

Green – Indicates that the project or phase is on track for the targeted implementation date.

Yellow – Indicates that the project or phase <u>may be falling behind</u> and work needs to be done to determine if the project can recover and still achieve the targeted implementation date, or if adjustments must be made to that date.

Red – Indicates that the project or critical tasks <u>have fallen behind</u> schedule, and corrective action must be taken to still make the targeted implementation date or that date must be changed.

Scope Status

Green – The scope has not changed in any way that will keep the implementation from meeting the objectives planned for the project.

Yellow – The scope of the project might change, creating a potential to impact significantly the schedule or budget, and could change significantly the objectives originally planned for the project.

Red – Scope changes are being requested that are likely to impact significantly the schedule or budget, and will change significantly the objectives originally planned for the project.

Budget Status

Green - Currently on target with project budget.

Yellow - Project is over budget by 10 to 25%.

Red - Project is over budget by 25% or more.

Other Issues (Staffing, Risks, etc.)

Green – No staffing risks or other issues and concerns exist.

Yellow – Key staff are departing. Staffing concerns or issues are emerging that need to be monitored and possible adjustments made. One or more risks or other issues might be surfacing that need to be monitored and contingency plans developed.

Red – Staffing concerns and issues exist that are likely to impact project schedule, budget, or deliverables. One or more risks or other issues have surfaced that are likely to impact schedule, budget or deliverables. Corrective action must be taken or contingency plans executed.